1 2	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS, LLP REBECCA EISEN, State Bar No. 96129 THOMAS M. PETERSON State Bar No. 96011 KENT M. ROGER, State Bar No. 95987 ERIC MECKLEY, State Bar No. 168181 One Market, Spear Street Tower San Francisco, CA 94105-1126		
3			
4			
5	Tel: (415) 442-1000; Fax: (415)442-1001 Email: reisen@morganlewis.com		
6	tmpeterson@morganlewis.com kroger@morganlewis.com		
7	emeckley@morganlewis.com		
8	Attorneys for Defendant WAL-MART STORES, INC.		
9			
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	OAKLAND DIVISION		
13			
14	In Re WAL-MART STORES, INC. WAGE AND HOUR LITIGATION,	Case No. C 06-02069 SBA (BZ)	
15		DECLARATION OF REBECCA EISEN	
16	This document relates to Case Nos.:	IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT WAL- MART STORES, INC.'S MOTIONS FOR	
17	C 06-02069 SBA (Smith) and C 06-05411 SBA (Ballard)	SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND/OR SUMMARY ADJUDICATION	
18		Date: April 22, 2008	
19		Time: 1:00 p.m. Place: Courtroom 3	
20		Judge: Hon. Saundra Brown Armstrong	
21		ı	
22	I, Rebecca Eisen, declare:		
23	1. I am an attorney at law admitted to the State Bar of California and admitted and duly		
24	licensed to practice before this Court. I am a partner of Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP,		
25	and am one of the attorneys for Defendant Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. in this action. The facts		
26	set forth below are true of my personal knowledge and, if called upon, I could and would		
27	testify competently to these facts.		

28
MORGAN, LEWIS &
BOCKIUS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO

- 2. On March 3, 2008, I participated in a meet and confer session with Plaintiffs' counsel. During the March 3 meet and confer, and in a subsequent conversation, I advised Plaintiffs' counsel as to each of the grounds upon which Wal-Mart intended to move for summary judgment and/or summary adjudication.
- 3. During the meet and confer, Plaintiffs' counsel took the position that they disagreed with Wal-Mart's grounds for its potential motion for summary judgment and/or summary adjudication. Plaintiffs' counsel declined to voluntarily dismiss any of their claims.
- 4. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of excerpts from the deposition of Martin M. Shapiro, Ph.D. taken in this case on November 7, 2007.
- 5. Defendant's former counsel Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe took the depositions of the named Plaintiffs Michael Wiggins, Barry Smith and Danton Ballard in this action.
 Attached hereto as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of excerpts from the deposition of Danton Ballard taken in this case on July 25, 2007, along with a copy of deposition Exhibit 4 which was marked, presented to and authenticated by Ballard during the deposition.
- 6. Attached hereto as Exhibit C is a true and correct copy of excerpts from the deposition of Michael Wiggins taken in this case on August 3, 2007, along with copies of deposition Exhibits 1, 3, 7, and 9 which were marked, presented to and authenticated by Wiggins during the deposition.
- 7. Attached hereto as Exhibit D is a true and correct copy of excerpts from the deposition of Barry Smith taken in this case on August 3, 2007, along with copies of deposition Exhibits 1, 2 and 6 which were marked, presented to and authenticated by Smith during the deposition.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 13th day of March 2008 at San Francisco, California.

/s/	
Rebecca Eisen	

27